



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,104	12/03/2001	Fukuharu Sudo	7217/47270-Z-RE/JHM/KJB 3624	
75	590 07/22/2003			
Jay H Maioli Esq			EXAMINER	
Cooper & Dunh	f the Americas		VO, NGUYEN THANH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10036			
			2685	10
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4		<		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Office Astice Commence	10/007,104	SUDO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN DIO DATE ENL	Nguyen T Vo	2685			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	n tne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON1 , cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.4	Anril 2003				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers prosecution as to the merits	: ie		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			, 13		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• ,	` ,			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		Sapproved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, priority and or or or or or	(4) (5) (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	rity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		ition).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •				
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7 	5) Notice of Ir	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

1. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed 02/27/2003, 04/28/2003 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2685

5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahlberg (5,758,295) in view of Mailey (5,237,311).

Regarding claim 5, Ahlberg discloses a portable communication terminal apparatus comprising a body (see figure 2A); transmitting and receiving means (see the transceiver 124 in figure 2B); selecting operation means (see the keys 112 and 114); operation detection means (see column 9 line 49 to column 10 line 17); storage means 122 (see also column 10 lines 40-46, column 11 lines 56-67, figures 6A-9B); display means 110, and control means 120 (see figure 2B). Ahlberg, however, fails to disclose that the selection operation means is operable by a user in a first direction along a surface of the body and in a second direction substantially perpendicular to the first direction, and that the display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the first direction is recognizably different from the display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the second first direction. Mailey discloses a selection operation means such as a rotatable jog dial selection device 10 which is operable by a user in a first direction along a surface of a body (in this case, the first direction as claimed reads on the rotation direction as disclosed at column 1 lines 55-59), and in a second direction substantially perpendicular to the first direction (in this case, the second direction as claimed reads on the downward direction as disclosed at see column 5 lines 25-30). In Mailey, the first direction is circumferential relative to the jog dial and the second direction is radial relative to the jog dial (see figure 2). Mailey further discloses that the display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the first direction (see column 1 lines 26-31 which discloses that a cursor or pointer is

Application/Control Number: 10/007,104

Art Unit: 2685

moved on a display in response to the first direction) is recognizably different from the display layout when the selection operation means is operated in the second first direction (see column 1 lines 25-31 which discloses that a selected menu is displayed in response to the second direction). See also column 1 lines 52-59, column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the above teaching of Mailey to Ahlberg, in order to obtain an integration of a trackball and a selection device, eliminate the awkward repositioning of fingers for engaging a separate pushbutton or other selection device, and also reduce the cost (as suggested by Mailey at column 2 lines 3-13).

As to claim 6, the combination of Ahlberg and Mailey discloses the claimed limitations (see column 1 lines 26-32 and lines 55-59 in Mailey).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-4 are allowed.

As to claims 1-4, the prior art fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed invention for the reasons as set forth in the parent application 08/932,942.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Will (5,477,508) discloses control of digital watch using menu and thumbwheel (see figures 1 and 2).

Response to Arguments

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/007,104 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T Vo whose telephone number is (703) 308-6728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday and alternate Monday from 8:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (703)305-4385. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for all communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,104

Art Unit: 2685

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Nguyen Vo

PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 17, 2003